



MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

For the year ended October 31, 2013

**Suite 530-510 Burrard Street
Vancouver, BC V6C 3A8
Telephone: 604-558-2565
Email: info@towerresources.ca**

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Description of Management Discussion and Analysis

The purpose of this Management Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) is to explain management’s point of view regarding the past performance and future outlook of Tower Resources Ltd. (the “Company” or “Tower”). This report also provides information to improve the reader’s understanding of the financial statements and related notes as well as important trends and risks affecting the Company’s financial performance, and should therefore be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements (“Financial Statements”) of the Company for the year ended October 31, 2013. The following discussion is dated and current as of February 26, 2014. This MD&A contains forward-looking information and statements which are based on the conclusions of management. The forward-looking information and statements are only made as of the date of this MD&A.

All financial information in this MD&A has been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) and all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The Company’s certifying officers, based on their knowledge, having exercised reasonable diligence, are also responsible to ensure that these filings do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated or that is necessary to make a statement not misleading in light of the circumstances under which it was made, with respect to the period covered by these filings, and these financial statements together with the other financial information included in these filings. The Board of Directors’ approves the Financial Statements and MD&A and ensures that management has discharged its financial responsibilities. The Board’s review is accomplished principally through the Audit Committee, which meets periodically to review all financial reports, prior to filing.

Additional information on the Company is available on SEDAR and at the Company’s website, www.towerresourcesltd.com.

Forward Looking Statements

Certain disclosures contained in this MD&A may constitute forward-looking information. This is information regarding possible events, conditions or results of operations of the Company that is based upon assumptions about future economic conditions and courses of action which is inherently uncertain. All information other than statements of historical fact may be forward-looking information.

Forward-looking information is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual events or results to differ from those reflected in the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, risks and uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results and the estimation of mineral resources, the geology, grade and continuity of mineral deposits and the possibility that future exploration and development results will not be consistent with the Company’s expectations. Some other risks and factors which could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking information contained in this MD&A are described under the heading “Risks and Uncertainties”.

Readers are cautioned that any such listings of risks are not, and in fact cannot be, complete. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual events and results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause events or results to differ from those intended, anticipated or estimated. The Company believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking information are reasonable but no assurance can be given that these expectations will prove to be correct and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information contained in this MD&A.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

The forward-looking information contained in this MD&A is provided as of the date hereof and the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law. All of the forward-looking information contained in this MD&A is expressly qualified by this cautionary statement.

Description of Business and Discussion of Operations

Tower is incorporated under the Business Corporations Act (BC) and is listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V"). The principal business of the Company is the acquisition and exploration of exploration and evaluation assets in the Province of British Columbia, Canada.

The Company currently holds four precious metal projects located in British Columbia, Canada. During the 2012 field season the Company completed a successful diamond drilling campaign at its flagship JD project in north-central B.C. and conducted reconnaissance, mapping and sampling at its other projects. In July 2013, the Company commenced its diamond drilling and exploration program at the JD project consisting of a 2,000 meters of exploration drilling.

Overall performance

On November 27, 2012, the Company issued 150,000 shares valued at \$40,500 pursuant to the JD property option agreement.

On December 6, 2012, the Company completed a private placement for 5,501,375 flow-through units at a price of \$0.32 per unit for gross proceeds of \$1,760,440. Each unit is comprised of one flow-through common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant which entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share of the Company for \$0.40 up to December 6, 2014. The flow-through units had an associated flow-through premium liability of \$385,097 on issuance. The Company incurred commissions and expenses of \$195,027 related to this financing.

On April 9, 2013, the Company granted 450,000 incentive stock options to a directors and consultants of the Company. The incentive stock options are priced at \$0.13 each and may be exercised for a period of five years from the date of grant with 12.5% of the options vesting at the date of grant and an additional 12.5% vesting every three months thereafter. The Company also cancelled 300,000 incentive stock options that were previously granted in February and March 2012.

On April 18, 2013, the Company issued 25,000 shares valued at \$3,750 pursuant to the Belle property option agreement.

On June 11, 2013, the Company commenced its 2013 exploration program on the Baez property in the Nechoako Plateau region, central British Columbia. The exploration program will initially consist of a focused IP (Induced Polarization) geophysical survey aimed at defining the size and scale of the three main targets with known epithermal related mineralization: the Camp, Clusko and Boulder Ridge South targets. The Camp target area encompassed the bulk of historic drilling and is located 3.5 kilometers northeast of the Clusko Zone, and 1.7 kilometers east of the Boulder Ridge South target. In conjunction with the IP geophysical survey Tower will continue to explore the property by mapping, sampling and further geochemical surveys over newly identified geophysical targets.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

On June 26, 2013, the Company received a Multi-Year Area Based (MYAB) Notice of Work permit from the B.C. government authorizing a multi-disciplinary five year exploration program at its JD Project. Under this new Notice of Work permit Tower has permission over five years to complete 30,000 metres of drilling from 100 pad locations covering the majority of known target areas. This new permit sets a good framework for advanced project development and exploration to be completed over a five year time frame.

On July 11, 2013 the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the Rabbit North Copper (Cu) – Gold (Au) property located in the Kamloops mining division of south central British Columbia. Under the terms of the agreement, Tower may acquire a 100% interest in the property subject to a 3% NSR.

Under the terms of the option agreement dated July 11, 2013, subject to TSX Venture Exchange acceptance, Tower can earn a 100% interest in the property by making cash payments of \$170,000 and issuing one million common shares over a 4-year period, to the vendors, in addition to funding aggregate exploration expenditures of \$2,000,000 over a 5-year period. The agreement is subject to 3% NSR, 2% of which can be purchased by Tower for \$3,500,000. On July 29, 2013, the Company issued 200,000 shares valued at \$30,000 pursuant to the Rabbit property option agreement.

On July 16, 2013 the Company commenced its 2013 diamond drilling and exploration program at the JD project consisting of a 2,000 meters of exploration drilling.

Subsequent event

In December 2013, the Company granted 425,000 incentive stock options to directors, consultants and management of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.05 expiring on December 17, 2018.

Summary of Exploration Activities

For the year ended October 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$1,684,291 in exploration and evaluation assets expenditures compared to \$2,048,719 for the corresponding year ended October 31, 2012.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

The following is a breakdown of the components of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets, on a property by property basis, for the year ended October 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Dragon and Dorado	JD	Baez	Sinkut	Straw	Waterloo	Rabbit North	Total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Balance, October 31, 2011	116,362	61,574	1,303	-	-	12,984	-	192,223
Acquisition costs	-	44,208	15,112	4,682	782	213,082	-	277,866
Deferred costs								
Assays	-	144,592	7,736	-	-	5,580	-	157,908
Annual mineral rights	-	46,836	-	-	-	-	-	46,836
Consulting services	-	64,947	8,000	-	-	-	-	72,947
Drilling	-	419,780	436	-	-	132,067	-	552,283
Equipment rental	-	7,265	190	-	-	1,621	-	9,076
Field supplies	-	31,102	9,133	90	260	8,897	-	49,482
Food	-	24,330	-	-	161	6,221	-	30,712
Geologist	-	191,669	24,855	1,540	1,810	66,903	-	286,777
Site development	-	65,086	-	-	-	-	-	65,086
Helicopter	-	417,422	-	-	-	-	-	417,422
Travel	-	33,788	3,371	-	76	826	-	38,061
Vehicle	-	30,980	3,775	62	257	9,189	-	44,263
Total costs incurred during the year	-	1,522,005	72,608	6,374	3,346	444,386	-	2,048,719
Write off of exploration and evaluation assets	(116,362)	-	-	(6,374)	(3,346)	-	-	(126,082)
Balance, October 31, 2012	-	1,583,579	73,911	-	-	457,370	-	2,114,860
Acquisition costs	-	91,031	856	-	-	-	40,000	131,887
Deferred costs								
Assays	-	62,662	560	-	-	6,455	1,921	71,598
Consulting services	-	23,398	28,767	-	-	9,059	-	61,224
Drilling	-	273,154	-	-	-	-	-	273,154
Equipment rental	-	6,239	860	-	-	830	4,449	12,378
Field supplies	-	69,703	27,012	-	-	1,609	10,276	108,600
Food	-	1,884	1,588	-	-	315	4,277	8,064
Geologist	-	267,837	101,699	-	-	23,780	178,259	571,575
Site development	-	65,427	-	-	-	3,184	-	68,611
Helicopter	-	59,018	-	-	-	-	236,280	295,298
Travel	-	29,927	1,854	-	-	403	827	33,011
Vehicle	-	14,680	18,085	-	-	3,540	12,586	48,891
Total costs incurred during the year	-	964,960	181,281	-	-	49,175	488,875	1,684,291
B.C. mineral exploration tax credit recoverable	-	(172,650)	(6,937)	-	-	(27,908)	-	(207,495)
Balance, October 31, 2013	-	2,375,889	248,255	-	-	478,637	488,875	3,591,656

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

JD property

On September 7, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the JD gold - silver property located in the Omineca mining division of British Columbia.

Under the terms of the option agreement Tower can earn a 100% interest in the property by making cash payments of \$200,000, and issuing 1,200,000 common shares over a 5-year period, to the vendors, in addition to funding aggregate exploration expenditures of \$5,000,000 over a total 60-month period. The agreement is subject to a 2% NSR, 1% of which can be purchased by the Company for \$3,000,000.

On April 11, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the Belle claims located directly adjacent to and adjoining the JD property. Under the terms of the Belle agreement, the Company may acquire a 100% interest by making a cash payment of \$14,000, and issuing 150,000 common shares over a four-year period to the vendor. The agreement is subject to a 2% NSR, 1% of which can be purchased by the Company for \$2,000,000.

The JD property comprises approximately 15,000 hectares located in the historically significant Toodoggone gold district of north-central BC. The property is underlain by a thick succession of interlayered volcanoclastic and intermediate volcanic rocks that are locally cut by high level porphyritic intrusions. These rocks appear to host a large epithermal gold-silver system with many significant high-grade gold and silver showings exposed over an area of three square kilometers.

The majority of the historic drilling on the JD property was focused on the Finn Zone where in excess of 200 diamond drill holes were completed between 1995 and 1998 by Antares Mining and Exploration Corporation and ACG Americas Gold Corp. Highlights from historic drilling in the Finn Zone include:

- 26m of 6.4g/t Au and 8.4g/t Ag (DDH 94-15)
- 34m of 3.7g/t Au and 17.7g/t Ag including 16m of 7.4g/t Au and 27.6g/t Ag (DDH 95-41)
- 20m of 12.2g/t Au and 161.5g/t Ag including 1m of 216g/t Au and 308.9g/t Ag (DDH 95-47)
- 37m of 4.1g/t Au and 15.2g/t Ag including 13.5m of 8.5g/t Au and 33.2g/t Ag (DDH 95-68)
- 19m of 9.4g/t Au and 64.3g/t Ag including 4m of 17.2g/t Au and 183.8g/t Ag (DDH 95-97)

Historic work on the JD property includes soil and rock geochemistry, geophysics (airborne and ground), trenching and diamond drilling. Previous workers on the property focused on advancing a low tonnage, high grade epithermal gold and silver deposit. Tower believes there is potential on the JD property to discover a lower grade, bulk-tonnage gold and silver deposit. Furthermore, the potential exists on the JD property for the discovery of related copper-gold porphyry mineralization similar to the Kerness Mine (Northgate Minerals) located 30 km to the south.

2012 exploration at JD consisted of soil geochemistry, mapping, sampling and diamond drilling. Drilling was focused on expansion and definition of the Finn and Moosehorn zones. The program also included work on targets to the north and south of the Finn Zone as well as on the Belle property to the southeast.

During August and September 2012 the Company reported the three following batches of selected diamond drill highlights from phase one drilling in the core, footwall and step-outs to the Finn Zone (for full results see press releases of August 29, September 19 and 27, 2012).

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

August 29, 2012:

- 12.6 meters (m) grading 10.82 g/t Au and 65.70 g/t Ag in hole JD-12-003
- 21.5 meters grading 4.92 g/t Au and 53.99 g/t Ag in hole JD-12-002
- 21.2 meters grading 3.80 g/t Au and 24.53 g/t Ag in hole JD-12-001

September 19, 2012:

- JD-12-009 intersected from surface; 18.0 metres of 1.74 g/t Au in a step out hole collared in the footwall to the Finn zone
- JD-12-007 intersected; 28.0 metres of 2.72 g/t Au and 8.0 g/t Ag
- JD-12-004 intersected; 17.5 metres of 1.41 g/t Au and 28.61 g/t Ag

September 27, 2012:

- JD-12-014 intersected; 26 metres grading 1.16 g/t Au and 11.54 g/t Ag in step-out hole west of Finn zone
- JD-12-005 intersected; 17 metres grading 2.33 g/t Au and 7.82 g/t Ag in Finn zone

The Company reported the last two sets of drill assay results from phase one drilling on October 2nd and October 11th, the focus of which was the core, footwall and step-outs of the Finn zone.

October 2, 2012:

Drill Hole ¹	From (m)	To (m)	Width ² (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	AuEQ ³ (g/t)
JD-12-008	5.0	31.0	26.0	3.64	9.29	3.81
including	10.0	20.0	10.0	8.22	12.54	8.45
including	13.0	15.0	2.0	32.45	26.60	32.95
and	46.0	51.0	5.0	0.31	1.18	0.33
and	58.0	59.0	1.0	9.49	5.20	9.59
JD-12-010	35.0	40.0	5.0	0.91	14.86	1.19
and	84.5	103.0	18.5	1.29	50.67	2.24
JD-12-013	20.5	24.0	3.5	0.57	1.57	0.60
and	27.0	32.0	5.0	0.78	1.16	0.80
and	34.0	45.0	11.0	1.21	4.93	1.30
and	62.0	64.0	2.0	0.60	7.40	0.74

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

October 11, 2012:

Highlights:

- Successful step-out hole (JD-12-015) extends Finn zone mineralization 350 metres north
- Continues to demonstrate near surface gold and silver mineralization characteristic of the Finn zone
- JD-12-006 intersected; 22.5 metres of 1.93 g/t Au with 36.89 g/t Ag
- JD-12-011 intersected; 28.90 metres of 1.18 g/t Au with 34.75 g/t Ag

Table of selected highlights of length weighted drill intersections:

Drill Hole ¹	From (m)	To (m)	Width ² (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	AuEQ ³ (g/t)
JD-12-006	14.0	18.0	4.0	0.96	52.10	1.93
and	26.0	48.5	22.5	1.93	36.89	2.62
including	29.0	35.7	6.7	5.52	110.79	7.60
including	29.0	32.0	3.0	9.28	232.67	13.65
JD-12-011	79.0	107.9	28.9	1.18	34.75	1.83
including	83.0	90.0	7.0	2.89	110.70	4.96
JD-12-015	5.7	13.0	7.3	0.47	1.28	0.49
And	85.0	105.0	20.0	1.55	2.42	1.60
including	94.0	100.0	6.0	3.73	3.30	3.79
And	109.0	114.0	5.0	0.62	2.04	0.65
and	121.0	125.0	4.0	0.41	1.40	0.44
and	127.0	131.0	4.0	0.35	0.65	0.36
and	133.0	138.0	5.0	2.43	2.03	2.46
inc	136.0	138.0	2.0	5.64	4.80	5.73
JD-12-018	74.0	75.0	1.0	1.08	5.30	1.18
and	92.0	105.0	13.0	1.16	33.53	1.78
and	117.0	118.0	1.0	1.29	5.40	1.40

2013 Activities

The Company received a Multi-Year Area Based (MYAB) Notice of Work permit from the B.C. government authorizing a multi-disciplinary five year exploration program at its JD Project. Under this new Notice of Work permit Tower has permission over five years to complete 30,000 metres of drilling from 100 pad locations covering the majority of known target areas.

2013 exploration at JD consisted of an induced-polarization geophysical survey, mapping, sampling and diamond drilling. Drilling was focused on exploration outside the core of the know Finn Zone mineralization. In particular, the Company targeted the porphyry potential east of the Finn Zone.

Following the Company's 2013 exploration season a composite sample of drill core from within the Finn Zone was selected for initial metallurgical testing. The sample was submitted to Inspectorate Exploration & Mining Services Ltd. in Richmond B.C. and was subjected to three tests including gravity concentration at two grind sizes and cyanide leach and flotation assessment at three grind sizes each.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

The samples subjected to centrifugal gravity separation at a grind size of P80 100 microns yielded a recovery of 47.8% of the gold and 13.5% of the silver. The samples responded well to bottle-roll leach testing with an average of 86.5% gold extraction and 55.8% silver extraction at a grind P80 size of 100 microns. The leach kinetics responded well to finer grinding with maximum gold extraction occurring in less than 40 hours at P80 at 75 microns. At this grind size, 82.7% gold extraction and 55.8% silver extraction was attained.

In the flotation test gold recoveries of up to 96.9% at grind size of P80 75 microns and silver recoveries of up to 92.5% at P80 100 microns were attained after 8 minutes of flotation. Gold recovery reportedly increased with decreasing grind size.

The Company reported the results from the 2013 exploration season which resulted in the discovery of a significant porphyry related hydrothermal alteration system in which classic alteration, mineralization and veining were encountered in three holes along a strike length of 850 metres.

The dominantly phyllic (quartz + sericite + pyrite) alteration with anomalous copper mineralization is believed to be related to a deeper copper mineralized porphyry system as one hole (JD-13-025) bottomed in 1.4 metres of 4665 ppm Cu hosted in an altered intrusion at 229 metres down-hole. Furthermore, the three holes tested only a small portion of an IP (Induced Polarization) chargeability anomaly Tower identified earlier in the program.

The IP survey covered a grid with a footprint of roughly 1.6 by 2 kilometers with lines spaced 200 and 400 metres apart. Three lines spaced 200 metres apart were run over the known extent of the Finn Zone to establish a geophysical baseline for chargeability and resistivity response associated with epithermal related mineralization and alteration. Two lines spaced 400 metres apart were also run east and topographically below the Finn Zone where a poly-element geochemical anomaly identified in 2012 and a coincident large (roughly 800 by 800 metres in dimension) geophysical magnetic anomaly exists. IP line 11N, located east of the Finn Zone returned a 900 meter long +16 mV/V chargeability anomaly partially coincident with a magnetic and geochemical anomaly.

The 2013 diamond drill program was designed to investigate the continuity of gold and silver mineralization between the Finn Zone and step-out hole JD-12-015 drilled in 2012 and the extent of mineralization along strike to the west and east from the Finn Zone. Furthermore, Tower tested new target areas identified in the IP survey.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Table of selected highlights of length weighted drill intersections:

Drill Hole1	From (m)	To (m)	Width2 (m)	Au (g/t)	Ag (ppm)	Cu (ppm)
JD-13-019	152.0	211.0	59.0	0.13	2.6	418
inc	153.0	156.0	3.0	0.35	8.4	1823
inc	167.0	172.0	5.0	0.12	7.7	792
inc	181.0	188.0	7.0	0.06	3.2	985
JD-13-020	152.0	163.0	11.0	0.38	1.1	
	167.0	178.0	11.0	0.80	1.9	
	184.0	189.0	5.0	2.28	2.9	
inc	187.0	188.0	1.0	10.30	8.8	
JD-13-021	113.0	115.0	2.0	0.62	2.5	
	121.0	122.0	1.0	1.06	1.7	
	140.7	144.0	3.3	0.57	3.0	
	149.0	164.5	15.5	0.48	2.2	
	172.0	179.0	7.0	0.86	1.4	
JD-13-022	7.9	32.0	24.1	0.07	1.7	213
	87.0	139.0	52.0	0.01	0.6	164
JD-13-023	24.0	57.0	33.0	0.06	2.1	476
inc	39.0	47.0	8.0	0.11	4.0	1079
JD-13-024	113.0	125.0	12.0	1.75	38.1	
inc	118.0	125.0	7.0	2.89	32.5	
	132.0	138.0	6.0	0.51	0.2	
JD-13-025	32.0	69.0	37.0	0.02	2.1	975
inc	66.0	69.0	3.0	0.09	14.2	9462
	222.0	230.1	8.1	0.01	0.8	962
inc	228.7	230.1	1.4	0.02	3.4	4665
JD-13-026	310.0	312.0	2.0	6.03	0.6	
	325.0	326.0	1.0	1.54	0.1	
JD-13-028	9.0	330.0	321.0	0.02	0.6	333
inc	45.7	48.0	2.3	0.03	1.1	917

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

inc	114.0	121.0	7.0	0.03	1.4	762
inc	143.0	151.0	8.0	0.02	0.6	1090
inc	222.0	232.0	10.0	0.02	1.3	876
inc	322.0	324.0	2.0	0.02	1.6	3371

1. Refer to collar table for hole locations
2. Widths reported are drill widths. True widths are unknown.

Waterloo property

On October 18, 2011, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the 3130 hectare Waterloo property located in the Vernon mining division of British Columbia. Under the terms of the option agreement, the Company may acquire a 75% interest in the property by making cash payments of \$70,000 and issuing 400,000 common shares to the vendor, in addition to funding aggregate exploration expenditures of \$2,000,000 over a total 42-month period. The agreement is subject to a 2% NSR which can be purchased by the Company for \$3,000,000.

Upon completing and delivering a Feasibility Study, the Company will be deemed to have exercised the second option and to have earned an additional 25% Interest (for an aggregate 100% interest), subject to the Royalty Interest as defined below.

Once the Company has earned the 100% interest, it will pay an advance royalty of \$40,000 per year until it commences commercial production.

The Waterloo property is underlain by Late Paleozoic deformed and metamorphosed volcanic, siliciclastic and carbonate rocks of the Harper Ranch Group. Here the Harper Ranch Group forms the stratigraphic basement to the island-arc related Quesnel Terrane. On the property rocks of the Harper Ranch Group form a roof pendant structure intruded by Cretaceous aged granitic batholiths of the Nelson and Valhalla complexes. A property scale easterly trending structure termed the "Waterloo Structure" is central to the property and hosts numerous high-grade silver showings such as the Waterloo Mine. The Waterloo mine has seen sporadic production of high-grade silver with lesser gold since 1903 resulting in numerous shipments of ore to the Trail, BC smelter in 1954, 1967 and 1983. The Waterloo Structure consists of structurally controlled carbonate with lesser quartz breccias and veins mineralized with sphalerite and galena along a contact between marbles and siliciclastic rocks of the Harper Ranch Group.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

2011 Activities

Below are assay results from representative and selective grab samples collected by the Company from outcrops, trenches, and dump piles located near old workings. New areas of precious metal enriched rocks were also identified during this work. Highlights from this work include:

Area	Sample	Type	Ag (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)
Waterloo	E924007	Select	2790.0	0.01	1.94	3.82
Waterloo	B386631	Select	138.0	0.00	5.32	30.00
AU Showing	J486140	Select	141.0	25.40	1.80	0.69
AU Showing	J486142	Select	68.3	3.96	0.37	1.03
Silver Spot #3	J486145	Select	188.0	0.01	0.85	6.23
Silver Spot #4	J486146	Grab	220.0	0.60	5.45	0.55
Forge	J486143	Grab	367.0	0.26	0.02	0.02
Prince	J486137	Grab	61.3	0.04	0.88	0.79
Prince	J486136	Grab	3.0	0.04	0.04	0.20
Potosi	E924003	Grab	66.5	0.48	0.55	0.22
Potosi	E924002	Grab	303.0	4.75	0.06	0.02
Potosi	B386624	Grab	0.8	0.82	0.00	0.01
Potosi	E924005	Grab	3.5	0.30	0.00	0.01
VQ	J486155	Grab	13.6	0.00	0.08	0.02

2012 Activities

The Company conducted additional detailed prospecting, rock sampling, geophysics and 1200 meters of diamond drilling during the 2012 field season at the Waterloo property.

Tower reported drill assay results from the program.

Drill Hole ¹	From (m)	To (m)	Drill Width (m)	True Width ² (m)	Ag (g/t)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)
WL-12-004	79.0	80.1	1.1	0.5	18.64	0.09	2.98
and	85.0	86.2	1.25	0.6	16.10	0.07	0.82
WL-12-006	62.2	62.7	0.5	0.2	14.60	0.03	3.06
and	64.2	70.0	5.80	2.1	49.36	0.25	6.34
inc	66.7	68.7	2.00	0.7	112.93	0.27	11.48
WL-12-008	135.0	136.0	1.00	0.7	16.20	0.01	0.95
WL-12-011	177.0	178.0	1.0	0.5	181.90	0.25	3.08

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Baez property

On February 21, 2012, the Company acquired the Baez property by staking. The road accessible Baez Property consists of nineteen mineral claims totalling 8,610 hectares located 125 kilometres west of Quesnel.

The property hosts the northern Camp zone and the southern Clusko zone which are 3.6 kilometres apart. Both zones lie within an arsenic in-soil anomaly that covers an area of 7.5 by 1.5 kilometres and remains open in numerous directions. Antimony, gold and silver are also locally anomalous and coincident with arsenic. Historic rock samples from throughout a large silicified corridor (chalcedony healed breccias, quartz stockwork and pervasive silica) of the southern Clusko Zone were extremely elevated in arsenic and highly anomalous in antimony and mercury with anomalous gold (up to 0.28 g/t Au). Historic shallow drilling of the northern Camp Zone in 1988 intersected long intervals of pervasive clay and silica alteration in hydrothermal breccias containing anomalous arsenic, antimony, gold and silver.

The Baez property covers a large 16 by 15 kilometre magnetic high identified by government regional airborne geophysical data. This magnetic high likely represents a buried intrusion as geological mapping indicates the property is underlain by interlayered rhyolite to andesite flows, flow breccias and minor volcanoclastic rocks likely assigned to the Eocene in age Ootsa Lake Group although the exact age of the host rocks is not presently known.

Based on this historic data and in particular the presence of widespread silicified breccias with elevated arsenic values and extensive clay alteration coincident with a large pathfinder element in-soil anomaly, Tower believes the Baez Property represents the base of the silica cap to a well developed epithermal gold system.

On March 22, 2012, the Company expanded the Baez property by staking an additional 69 claims. This brought the total land package to a size of 40,125 hectares.

The Company conducted detailed mapping, prospecting, soil sampling and re-logging and sampling of historic drill core on the Baez property in May, June and August 2012. Highlights from the sampling include:

Area	Sample	Description	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)
Ridge North	1710583	siliceous breccia boulder	0.179	0.30
Ridge North	1710585	altered dacite breccia subcrop	0.236	0.80
Ridge North	1710586	siliceous breccia boulder	5.204	30.70
Ridge North	1710211	siliceous breccia boulder	0.270	235.00
Ridge North	1710580	siliceous breccia boulder	0.206	0.90
Ridge South	1710065	siliceous breccia boulder	0.600	6.20
Ridge South	1710066	siliceous breccia boulder	0.410	10.00
Ridge South	1710587	altered rhyolite breccia subcrop	0.452	34.00
Ridge South	1710588	altered rhyolite breccia subcrop	1.710	21.10
Ridge South	1710590	altered dacite breccia outcrop	0.338	3.10
Ridge South	1710593	altered rhyolite breccia outcrop	0.112	1.40
Ridge South	1710594	altered rhyolite breccia subcrop	0.147	3.00

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

2013 Activities

The field program at Baez consisted of a focused IP survey aimed at defining the size and scale of the three main targets with known epithermal related mineralization: the Camp, Clusko and Boulder Ridge South targets. The Camp target area encompassed the bulk of historic drilling and is located 3.5 kilometers northeast of the Clusko Zone, and 1.7 kilometers east of the Boulder Ridge South target.

To assist in focusing the footprint of the IP survey, Tower also recently completed a property scale compilation of historic airborne geophysical data (1993, 1994 and 2008 vintage) that covers an area of 25 by 15 kilometres. Tower contracted MIRA Geoscience Ltd., of Vancouver, BC to complete the compilation and execute advanced interpretation including a robust 3D inversion. New targets identified within this compilation are characterized by coincident magnetic anomalies with areas of high conductivity response. The IP survey will also cover some of these new target areas.

These airborne geophysical anomalies coupled with the presence of mineralized boulders down-ice from the ridge and the presence of hydrothermally brecciated rhyolite in outcrop suggests the ridge is in part underlain by a structurally controlled, north-striking corridor of silicified and mineralized felsic breccia. The planned IP survey is designed to define the true size and scale of this corridor.

Rabbit North property

On July 11, 2013, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the Rabbit North Copper - Gold Property located in the Kamloops mining division of south central British Columbia. Under the terms of the agreement, Tower may acquire a 100% interest in the property subject to a 3% NSR.

The Rabbit North property comprises 2850 hectares located in the active and significant Kamloops mining division. The road accessible property is approximately 14.5 kilometres west of the producing New Afton mine operated by New Gold Inc. (Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources of 51.8 million tonnes at 1.26% Cu, 0.91 grams per tonne (g/t) Au, 2.9 g/t silver and .11 g/t palladium at 0.5% Cu cutoff) and approximately 28 kilometres east northeast of the producing Highland Valley mine operated by Teck Resources Limited, Canada's largest copper producer.

Alkalic porphyry related mineralization and alteration at the Rabbit North property is documented in two host rock types; the three by two kilometer Late Triassic to Early Jurassic zoned alkaline intrusion termed the Durand Stock, and the intrusion's volcanic country rocks assigned to the Upper Triassic Nicola Group. The age of the Durand Stock is approximately the same age as the Guichon and Iron Mask batholiths, which host the Highland Valley and New Afton mines respectively. Copper mineralization within the porphyritic monzonite core of the Durand Stock is characterized by disseminated and blebby chalcopyrite whereas mineralization hosted in the Nicola volcanics is dominantly characterized by disseminated and blebby chalcopyrite and pyrite (+/- bornite) associated with varying degrees of albite, biotite and magnetite alteration.

Historical exploration on the property was completed by major companies such as Kennco, Noranda and Cominco in the 1960s and 1970s and by junior companies such as ProAm Exploration and Auterra Ventures in the late 1990s and early 2000s. These programs consisted of shallow diamond drilling in a confined area, percussion drilling, soil and organic geochemistry and various geophysical programs. Collectively, the historical work outlines numerous porphyry related targets that Tower intends to investigate in detail during the 2014 field season.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Under the terms of the option agreement dated July 11, 2013, subject to TSX Venture Exchange acceptance, Tower can earn a 100% interest in the property by making cash payments of \$170,000 and issuing one million common shares over a 4-year period, to the vendors, in addition to funding aggregate exploration expenditures of \$2,000,000 over a 5-year period. The agreement is subject to 3% NSR, 2% of which can be purchased by Tower for \$3,500,000.

2013 Activities

The Company reported results from a detailed sampling and reconnaissance program at its Rabbit North Project. The focus of the program was to evaluate the style and grade of copper, gold and silver mineralization described in historic reports. Representative grab and chip samples were collected from outcrops and historic trenches and historic drill core from 1997 and 2004 was re-examined. In total 47 samples were collected for geochemical analysis.

Table of 2013 Grab Sample Highlights from Rabbit North Property:

Area	Sample	Type	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Pd (g/t)
Central Monzonite	1709453	grab	1.32	0.27	3.90	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709454	grab	1.02	0.43	7.40	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709461	grab	0.28	0.28	2.00	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709462	grab	0.09	0.26	1.00	0.02
Central Monzonite	1709463	grab	0.96	0.52	6.50	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709464	grab	0.56	0.57	4.40	0.01
Central Monzonite	1710297	grab	0.28	0.10	4.10	0.01
Central Monzonite	1717043	grab	1.32	0.24	3.80	0.01
Central Monzonite	1717044	grab	0.88	0.56	5.10	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709480	grab	0.30	0.38	2.70	0.01
Central Monzonite	1709481	grab	1.04	0.88	4.80	0.02
Chrysocolla	1717045	grab	2.40	1.72	4.60	0.03
Chrysocolla	1709458	grab	1.30	1.64	4.40	0.04
Chrysocolla	1709459	3 meter chip	0.84	1.48	2.20	0.03
Chrysocolla	1710296	grab	1.82	1.68	1.90	0.04
Chrysocolla East	1710287	grab	0.12	4.28	1.10	0.19
Chrysocolla East	1710289	grab	0.91	0.20	17.40	0.03
Chrysocolla East	1709479	grab	0.32	0.25	4.60	0.03

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

2014 Activities

The Company expanded by staking the mineral tenures covering the Rabbit North project. The property now consists of 34 mineral tenures covering 16,400 hectares

The Company reported results from two geophysical surveys completed. The purpose of both surveys was to refine drill targets in areas of known mineralization and to identify new targets in areas with overburden cover. The surveys consisted of a 50 line kilometer IP survey over the core of the Rabbit North property and a 2,900 line kilometer high resolution helicopter magnetic survey over the recently expanded claim package.

The IP survey covered a grid centered on the Durand Stock with a footprint of approximately 2.8 by 3.6 kilometers with lines oriented northerly spaced 200 metres apart. The goal of the survey was to establish a geophysical baseline for chargeability and resistivity response associated with known porphyry related copper and gold mineralization and alteration. Furthermore, the survey was also designed to investigate areas peripheral to and deeper than the known areas of mineralization.

The IP survey identified numerous significant high chargeability anomalies (indicative of increased sulphide concentrations) which are also in-part coincident with strong magnetic anomalies outlined by an earlier ground magnetic survey and expanded by the helicopter-borne magnetic survey (see below). For example, the inverted chargeability 200 meter depth plan outlines a +12.5 mV/V (millivolt per volt) anomaly with a strike length of at least 1.9 kilometers and a width of at least 1.4 kilometers. This anomaly is open to the east and to the south where chargeability readings in the core of the anomaly reach +60 mV/V. Previously within this large anomaly, a small area of approximately 190 by 175 meters (the Chrysacolla Zone) was tested with diamond drill holes (see Tower's October 22nd, 2013 Press Release). The Chrysocolla Zone is associated with the western extent of the anomaly. Also on the inverted chargeability 200 meter depth plan, the northern extent of the Western Magnetite Zone is associated with a +12.5 mV/V anomaly which appears to extend 2.4 kilometers to the northeast producing an arcuate shaped high chargeability anomaly. Bedrock associated with this anomaly has been poorly tested.

The helicopter magnetic survey was flown on easterly oriented lines at 100 meter separation covering a survey block with dimensions of approximately 29 by 11 kilometers. In total, 2,900 line kilometers of data was collected between December 4th and December 9th 2013. The purpose of the survey was to provide high resolution magnetic data to assist in the interpretation of the property geology and to help define drill targets in areas proximal to the Durand Stock. The Durand Stock comprises an oval shaped magnetic high rim measuring 2.7 by 2.2 kilometers, with a magnetic low core. Magnetic highs proximal to the stock are also observed where magnetite alteration is documented in Nicola Group volcanic rocks. Magnetic highs of similar magnitude have been identified in areas with limited historic exploration proximal to the Durand Stock. These anomalies are considered significant targets for follow-up. Furthermore, the survey effectively identified new magnetic highs, lineaments and breaks which may represent possible intrusions and structures in unexplored areas where publically available, low resolution magnetic data exhibits coarse featureless anomalies.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Dragon and Dorado properties

The Company entered into an option agreement with Sidewinder Exploration Ltd., dated June 26, 2011 to acquire up to a 100% (subject to a 2% NSR) interest in two exploration and evaluation assets known as “Dragon” and “Dorado”, located on Vancouver Island, British Columbia.

Under the option agreement, the Company could earn an initial 75% interest in the properties by making cash payments of \$45,000 and issuing 1,200,000 common shares to Sidewinder, in addition to funding aggregate exploration expenditures of \$2,000,000 all over a total 41-month period. The Company also has an exclusive right and option to earn an additional 25% interest in the properties (for an aggregate of 100% subject to a 2% NSR) by funding and delivering a Feasibility Study.

On August 29, 2012, Tower announced its decision to terminate the Option Agreement covering the Dragon and Dorado projects.

Other properties

During calendar 2011, the Company acquired for nominal staking costs the Sinkut and Straw properties located in central British Columbia.

A basic program consisting of mapping, sampling and reconnaissance was completed during August 2012, subsequent to which the Company decided to relinquish the claims.

The scientific and technical content and interpretations contained in this MD&A have been reviewed, verified and approved by Kenneth Thorsen, BSc. P-Eng and CPG-27486, a Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101, *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects*.

Exploration and Evaluation Assets - Oil & Gas

Poplar Winstar Strachan

On December 4, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Poplar Point Energy Ltd. (“Poplar”), to participate in a Participation Agreement between Poplar and Winstar Resources Ltd. (“Winstar”), dated October 20, 2006, wherein Poplar agreed to participate in the Winstar Strachan 8-10-38-10 W5M well in the West Central area of Alberta. The Company paid \$300,000 of the drilling, completion and tie in costs of the well to earn an equalization interest of 1.2366423%. Winstar sold its interest in the well to Crossbow Energy Partners Ltd, which sold its interest to Vermilion Energy Trust.

During the year ended October 31, 2009, management of the Company wrote down the value of the property to the estimated recoverable amount of \$1.

Costs Summary for the Oil and Gas Property

	October 31, 2013 and 2012
	Acquisition Costs
Poplar Winstar Strachan	\$ 1

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Selected Annual Information

The following financial data is derived from the Company's financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

	2013 \$	2012 \$	2011 \$
Revenue (interest income)	27,509	25,104	22,885
General and administrative expenses	(662,301)	(941,125)	(567,113)
Loss and comprehensive loss	(308,633)	(787,360)	(533,908)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Working capital	868,769	1,244,838	1,997,724
Exploration and evaluation assets	3,591,656	2,114,860	192,223
Total assets	4,858,131	3,675,231	2,287,720
Total liabilities	294,501	255,310	106,831

The Company's projects are at the exploration stage and have not generated any revenue other than interest earned. At October 31, 2013, the Company had not yet achieved profitable operations and has a deficit of \$8,453,211 (2012 - \$8,239,131). These losses resulted in a net loss per share for the year ended October 31, 2013 of \$0.01 (2012 - \$0.03).

Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Financial Statements and related notes. The operating and administrative expenses for the year ended October 31, 2013 totaled \$662,301 (2012 - \$941,125), including value of stock options vested during the year of \$197,776 (2012 - \$457,925) calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Comparatively, the major expenses for the year ended October 31, 2013 were accounting and audit fees of \$58,680 (2012 - \$82,479), consulting fees of \$27,844 (2012 - \$84,162), management fees of \$73,250 (2012 - \$42,500) and office and miscellaneous of \$204,005 (2012 - \$180,445).

The table below details the changes in major expenditures for the year ended October 31, 2013 as compared to the corresponding year ended October 31, 2012.

Expenses	Increase / Decrease in Expenses	Explanation for Change
Accounting and audit	Decrease of \$23,799	Decreased accounting fees due to additional fees for the transition to IFRS in the prior year
Consulting fees	Decrease of \$56,318	Reduced consultants' compensation
Management fees	Increase of \$30,750	Increased management compensation
Office and miscellaneous expense	Increase of \$23,560	Increased rent as the Company expanded its office facilities and increased website and printing expenditures as the Company expanded its activities
Share-based compensation	Decrease of \$260,149	Decreased due to fewer stock options granted during the year ended October 31, 2013
Travel and promotion	Decrease of \$21,269	Decrease level of travel for site visits, and marketing of the Company

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

The table below details the changes in major expenditures for the year ended October 31, 2012 as compared to the corresponding year ended October 31, 2011.

Expenses	Increase / Decrease in Expenses	Explanation for Change
Accounting and audit	Increase of \$32,549	Increase in accounting fees with respect to the transition to IFRS
Consulting fees	Increase of \$29,112	Increase is due to commencement of services by the Company's vice president of exploration and the engagement of additional geologists
Management fees	Increase of \$42,500	Increase due to compensation to management
Office and miscellaneous expense	Increase of \$113,449	Increased rent as the Company expanded its office facilities and increased website and printing expenditures as the Company expanded its activities
Share-based compensation	Increase of \$213,128	Increase due to 1,100,000 stock options granted during the year

Summary of Quarterly Results

Period ended	Revenue (interest income)	Income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss)	Basic and diluted income (loss) per share	Exploration and evaluation assets expenditures	General & administrative expenses
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
October 31, 2013	5,970	141,568	0.00	955,052	119,760
July 31, 2013	7,304	(115,134)	(0.00)	444,750	173,576
April 30, 2013	7,988	(143,582)	(0.00)	124,965	166,191
January 31, 2013	6,247	(191,485)	(0.01)	159,524	202,774
October 31, 2012	3,646	(137,504)	(0.00)	1,131,202	233,194
July 31, 2012	7,751	(208,114)	(0.01)	567,413	237,089
April 30, 2012	13,342	(238,922)	(0.01)	86,361	267,740
January 31, 2012	365	(202,820)	(0.01)	263,743	203,102

Variances quarter over quarter can be explained as follows:

- In the quarter ended October 31, 2013, the Company recognized \$261,112 relating to the reversal of the flow through premium liability. The Company also entered into the Rabbit North property option agreement in July 2013 which largely contributed to the increase in exploration and evaluation assets expenditures of \$510,302 from the quarterly ended July 31, 2013 to the quarterly ended October 31, 2013.
- Given the general weather conditions and exploration season in British Columbia, the Company's exploration and evaluation assets expenditures tend to be greater from May to November than in the rest of the year.
- In the quarter ended July 31, 2012, the Company wrote off exploration and evaluation assets of \$116,362.
- In the quarter ended October 31, 2012, the Company wrote off exploration and evaluation assets of \$9,720.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Fourth Quarter

The operating and administrative expenses for the quarter ended October 31, 2013 totaled \$119,760. The major expenses for the quarter ended October 31, 2013 were accounting and audit fees of \$38,000, office and miscellaneous costs of \$43,439 and share-based compensation of \$25,587.

During the fourth quarter, the Company recognized \$261,112 in relation to the reversal of the flow through premium liability.

There were no material adjustments or other events affecting the Company's financial condition or performance in the fourth quarter other than the above mentioned.

Liquidity, Financial Position and Capital Resources

The Company has no known mineral resources and is not in commercial production on any of its properties and accordingly, the Company does not generate cash from operations. The Company finances exploration activities by raising capital from equity markets from time to time.

As at October 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company's liquidity and capital resources are as follows:

	2013	2012
	\$	\$
Cash	852,899	1,277,454
Receivables	282,794	184,762
Prepaid expenses	14,577	24,932
Total current assets	1,150,270	1,487,148
Payables and accrued liabilities	228,652	242,310
Flow-through premium liability	52,849	-
Working capital	868,769	1,244,838

As at October 31, 2013, the Company had a cash position of \$852,899 (2012 - \$1,277,454), consisting mainly of proceeds from the December 2012 financing. As at October 31, 2013, the Company has a surplus working capital position of \$868,769 (2012 - \$1,244,838).

The primary uses of cash during the year ended October 31, 2013 was the funding of operations of \$262,332 (2012 - \$639,244); exploration of exploration and evaluation assets expenditures of \$1,663,182 (2012 - \$1,730,366); and the acquisition of property and equipment of \$9,454 (2012 - \$40,821). The main source of cash was the issuance of shares of \$1,760,440 (2012 - \$1,748,000), net of share issuance costs of \$195,027 (2012 - \$73,533).

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

The Company currently has no source of operating cash flow, limited financial resources, and has no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its properties or to enable it to fulfill its obligations under any applicable agreements. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of the Company's properties and the possible loss of title to such properties. The ability of the Company to continue as a going concern and realize the carrying value of its resource properties is dependent upon the continued financial support from related parties, the ability of the Company to raise equity financing to continue exploration and development activities or contract out further work with joint venture partners, the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, and upon future profitable operations or proceeds from disposition of resource properties. Management believes it has sufficient working capital to continue operations for the next 12 months.

Use of Proceeds from the Fiscal 2013 and 2012 Financings

In December 2011, the Company closed a private placement of 2,545,000 common shares at a price of \$0.40 per share for proceeds of \$1,018,000, net of cash commissions and expenses of \$16,340. The use of proceeds from the 2011 financing financed its 2012 exploration programs in British Columbia.

In April 2012, the Company closed a private placement of 1,825,000 common shares at a price of \$0.40 per share for proceeds of \$730,000, net of cash commissions and expenses of \$57,193. The use of proceeds from the 2012 financing financed its 2012 exploration programs in British Columbia. As of October 31, 2012, the Company had spent \$1,730,366 in deferred exploration and evaluation costs for the 2012 exploration programs.

In December 2012, the Company closed a private placement of 5,501,375 flow-through units at a price of \$0.32 per unit for gross proceeds of \$1,760,440, net of cash commissions and expenses of \$195,027. The budgeted use of proceeds from the December 2012 financing was to finance its 2013 exploration programs in British Columbia. As at October 31, 2012, the Company had spent \$1,663,182 in deferred exploration and evaluation costs for the 2013 exploration programs.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as at October 31, 2013 or as of the date of this report.

Related Party Transactions

During year ended October 31, 2013, the Company engaged in the following transactions with related parties (key management), not disclosed elsewhere in this MD&A:

- a) Management fees of \$73,250 (2012 - \$42,500) and exploration and evaluation assets expenditures totaling \$16,750 (2012 - \$nil) were paid or accrued to a company controlled by Mark Vanry, the President, CEO and director of the Company.
- b) Consulting fees of \$24,000 (2012 - \$30,000), property examination costs of \$10,000 (2012 - \$nil) and exploration and evaluation assets expenditures totaling \$86,000 (2012 - \$90,000) were paid to a company controlled by Christopher Leslie, the Vice President of Exploration of the Company.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

- c) Administrative fees included in office and miscellaneous of \$30,000 (2012 - \$7,740) and accounting and audit fees of \$nil (2012 - \$8,830) were paid or accrued to a company controlled by Steve Vanry, the CFO and director of the Company.
- d) “Office and miscellaneous” includes rent of \$83,657 (2012 - \$78,699) and other office expenses of \$3,153 (2012 - \$2,069) paid or accrued to a company related by common directors and officers.

Summary of key management personnel compensation (including officers and directors of the Company) for the year ended October 31, 2013 and 2012:

	2013	2012
	\$	\$
Accounting and audit	-	8,830
Management fees	73,250	42,500
Office and miscellaneous	30,000	7,740
Consulting fees	24,000	41,500
Property examination costs	10,000	-
Exploration and evaluation assets expenditures	102,750	90,000
Share-based compensation	118,632	281,142
	358,632	471,712

Amounts owing to related parties (key management) included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities total \$50,125 (2012 - \$16,445).

In accordance with IAS 24, key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, directly or indirectly, including directors (executive and non-executive) of the Company.

Risks and Uncertainties

The main risks that can affect the Company include operational risks, changes in commodity and equity prices, and government regulation.

Operational

The Company has changed its focus from oil and gas exploration to mineral exploration. The mineral exploration business is speculative and involves a high degree of risk.

There can be no assurance that the Company’s exploration activities will be successful as few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. It is impossible to ensure that the current exploration programs being carried out or planned by the Company will result in a profitable commercial mining operation. At present, none of the Company’s properties have a known body of commercial ore and any proposed exploration programs are an exploratory search for ore.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

In addition to the risk that no economic body of ore exists on its properties, the Company is subject to an extensive array of other economic, political and technical risks in exploring and developing its exploration and evaluation assets, including, without limitation, volatile stock and currency markets, fluctuations in metals prices, competition, changing government regulations, title issues, undercapitalization, the potential for delays in exploration, the potential for unexpected costs and expenses, accidents, the availability of key personnel and political instability. Since the Company has no revenues, the acquisition and development of its properties depends upon its ability to obtain financing through private placement financings, public financings, the joint venturing of projects or other means. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financings

Management of industry risk

The Company is engaged primarily in mineral exploration and manages related industry risk issues directly. The Company's mineral exploration activities expose it to potential environmental liability risk. It is management's policy to review environmental compliance and exposure on an ongoing basis. The Company follows industry standards and specific project environmental requirements. The Company is currently in the exploration stage on its property interests and has not determined whether significant site recovery costs will be required. Management is not aware of and does not anticipate any significant environmental remediation costs or liabilities in respect of its current operations.

Commodity and equity prices

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors commodity prices of gold and other precious and base metals, individual equity movements, and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company. Fluctuations in pricing may be significant.

Government regulation

The Company's operations may be adversely affected by changes in Governmental policies or other economic developments which are not within the control of the Company including a change in crude oil or natural gas pricing policy, taxation policies, economic sanctions, and currency control. The Company is subject to various laws governing exploration, development, production, taxes, labour standards and occupational health, toxic substances, land use, water use, land claims of local people and other matters. No assurance can be given that new rules and regulations will not be enacted or that existing rules and regulations will not be applied in a manner which could increase the cost of operations.

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of Financial Statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenues and expenses during the period. Based on historical experience and current conditions, management makes assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates and assumptions form the basis for judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities and reported amounts for revenues and expenses. Different assumptions would result in different estimates, and actual results may differ from results based on these estimates. These estimates and assumptions are also affected by management's application of accounting policies. Critical accounting estimates are those that affect the audited financial statements materially and involve a significant level of judgment by management.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Although management uses historical experience and its best knowledge of the amount, events or actions to form the basis for judgments and estimates, actual results may differ from these estimates.

The most significant accounts that require estimates as the basis for determining the stated amounts include the recoverability of exploration and evaluation assets and valuation of share-based compensation.

Critical judgments exercised apply in accounting policies that have the most significant effect on the amounts recognized in the financial statements are as follows:

Economic recoverability and probability of future economic benefits of exploration and evaluation assets

Management has determined that exploration, evaluation, and related costs incurred which were capitalized may have future economic benefits and may be economically recoverable. Management uses several criteria in its assessments of economic recoverability and probability of future economic benefits including, geologic and other technical information, a history of conversion of mineral deposits with similar characteristics to its own properties to proven and probable mineral reserves, the quality and capacity of existing infrastructure facilities, evaluation of permitting and environmental issues and local support for the project.

Determination of functional currency

The Company determines the functional currency through an analysis of several indicators such as expenses and cash flow, financing activities, retention of operating cash flows, and frequency of transactions with the reporting entity.

Information about assumptions and estimation uncertainties that have a significant risk of resulting in material adjustments are as follows:

Valuation of share-based compensation

The Company uses the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model for valuation of share-based compensation. Option pricing models require the input of subjective assumptions including expected price volatility, interest rate, and forfeiture rate. Changes in the input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate and the Company's earnings and equity reserves.

Income taxes

In assessing the probability of realizing income tax assets, management makes estimates related to expectation of future taxable income, applicable tax opportunities, expected timing of reversals of existing temporary differences and the likelihood that tax positions taken will be sustained upon examination by applicable tax authorities. In making its assessments, management gives additional weight to positive and negative evidence that can be objectively verified.

Changes in Accounting Policies including Initial Adoption

A number of new standards, amendments to standards and interpretations applicable to the Company are not yet effective for the year ended October 31, 2013 and have not been applied in preparing these financial statements. The Company does not expect there to be any changes as a result of the new and revised standards which will be effective to the Company's financial statements for the year ending October 31, 2014 or later:

- a) IFRS 7 – Financial Instruments: Disclosures applied to offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities in accordance with IAS 32. It is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect any effect on its financial statements from the adoption of this standard.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

- b) IFRS 9 – Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement applies to classification and measurement of financial assets and liabilities as defined in IAS 39. It is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect any effect on its financial statements from the adoption of this standard.
- c) IFRS 13 – Fair Value Measurement is a comprehensive standard that defines fair value, requires disclosure about fair value measurement and provides a framework for measuring fair value when it is required or permitted within the IFRS standards. It is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect any effect on its financial statements from the adoption of this standard.
- d) IAS 32 – Financial Instruments: Presentation amendment provides clarification on the application of offsetting rules. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The Company does not expect any effect on its financial statements from the adoption of this standard.

Financial Instruments and Management of Financial Risk

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels in the fair value hierarchy according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair values. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

- Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 – Inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, receivables, reclamation bonds, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and due to related parties. The fair value of these financial instruments, other than cash, approximates their carrying values. Cash is measured at fair value using level 1 inputs.

The Company is exposed to a variety of financial risks by virtue of its activities including currency, credit, interest rate, liquidity and price risk.

Credit risk

The Company is exposed to industry credit risks arising from its cash holdings and receivables. The Company manages credit risk by placing cash with major Canadian financial institutions. The Company's receivables consist of BC mineral exploration tax credit and sales tax recoverable due from the Federal Government of Canada. Management believes that credit risk related to these amounts is nominal.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not have sufficient funds to meet its financial obligations when they are due. To manage liquidity risk, the Company reviews additional sources of capital and financing to continue its operations and discharge its commitments as they become due.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. As of October 31, 2013, the Company held a demand deposit with a face value \$925,000. A change in interest rates of 1% will change income by \$9,250 per annum.

Foreign currency risk

The Company is not significantly exposed to foreign currency risk on fluctuations related to items that are denominated in a foreign currency. Management believes the risk is not currently significant.

Price risk

The Company has limited exposure to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities.

Other risks

The Company will need additional funding to complete its short and long term objectives. The ability of the Company to raise such financing in the future will depend on the prevailing market conditions, as well as the business performance of the Company. Current global financial conditions have been subject to increased volatility as a result of which access to public financing has been negatively impacted. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in its efforts to raise additional financing on terms satisfactory to the Company. The market price of the Company's shares at any given point in time may not accurately reflect value. If adequate funds are not available or not available on acceptable terms, the Company may not be able to take advantage of opportunities, to develop new projects or to otherwise respond to competitive pressures.

The Company is dependent upon the services of key executives, including the Chief Executive Officer. Certain directors and officers of the Company also serve as directors and/or officers of other companies involved in mineral exploration and development and, consequently, there exists the possibility for such directors and officers to be in a position of conflict.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Disclosure of Data for Outstanding Common Shares, Options and Warrants

Authorized and issued capital stock as at February 26, 2014

Authorized – Unlimited common shares without par value

Issued and Outstanding: 33,833,308 common shares

The following options and warrants were outstanding and exercisable as at February 26, 2014:

(i) **Options**

Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Number Exercisable	Expiry Date
\$			
0.40	187,500	187,500	August 12, 2014
0.35	1,000,000	1,000,000	September 29, 2016
0.35	100,000	100,000	December 9, 2016
0.45	50,000	50,000	April 5, 2017
0.30	250,000	250,000	May 24, 2017
0.30	400,000	300,000	October 25, 2017
0.13	450,000	225,000	April 9, 2018
0.05	425,000	53,125	December 17, 2018
	<u>2,862,500</u>	<u>2,165,625</u>	

(ii) **Warrants**

Exercise Price	Number Outstanding and Exercisable	Expiry Date
\$		
0.40	4,200,000	December 1, 2014
0.40	2,750,687	December 6, 2014
0.32	342,825	December 6, 2014
0.40	7,500,000	November 2, 2015
	<u>14,793,512</u>	

For a detailed description of share transactions, please refer to the Company's Financial Statements.

TOWER RESOURCES LTD.
MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2013

Other MD&A Requirements

Additional information relating to the Company may be found on or in:

- SEDAR at www.sedar.com;
- the Company's website at www.towerresourcesltd.com;
- the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2013; and
- the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2012.

Approval

The Board of Directors of the Company has approved the disclosure contained in this Management Discussion and Analysis.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors,

"Mark Vanry"

Mark Vanry

President, CEO and Director

February 26, 2014